ECERFACS



CENTRE EUROPÉEN DE RECHERCHE ET DE FORMATION AVANCÉE EN CALCUL SCIENTIFIQUE

Acoustically induced vortex core flashback in a staged swirl-stabilized combustor

C. Lapeyre¹, M. Mazur², F. Richecoeur², P. Scouflaire², S. Ducruix², T. Poinsot³

> ICNC - Avignon April 22nd, 2015

¹CERFACS — ²EM2C - Centrale Supélec — ³IMFT

www.cerfacs.fr

Context of the study

Aerodynamically stabilized premixed flames are flashback prone via several mechanisms:

- Wall boundary layer FB (Lewis & von Elbe 1943)
- Acoustic forcing FB (Keller *et al.* 1982)
- Combustion induced vortex breakdown (Kröner *et al.* 2002)
- Vortex core FB (Ishizuka 2002, Domingo & Vervisch 2007)
- Autoignition in the injection duct

The present study aims to show that a setup can be resistant to all these mechanisms separately, but flashback when two or more combine



Flashback experiment of Keller et al. (1982)



CIVB mechanism of Kröner et al. (2002)

The CESAM-HP Bench at EM2C

Pressurized bench (up to 2.5 bar) with lean premixed combustion:

- Study of aerodynamic flame stabilization in a confined chamber
- Choked nozzle offers realistic outlet conditions
- Air and fuel mass flow rate can be split, offering a wide investigation range







Operation range

An experimental investigation has identified :

- Long fluttering flame regimes for low mass flow rates
- Compact flame regimes for air mass flow rate above 17 g/s





Total air mass flow rate (g/s)

Operation range

An experimental investigation has identified :

- Long fluttering flame regimes for low mass flow rates
- Compact flame regimes for air mass flow rate above 17 g/s





Total air mass flow rate (g/s)

Numerical domain





Numerical domain





Numerical domain





Bench can be operated in fully
premixed or fuel staging
configurations.

Matching numerical setups are available for LES with the AVBP code

(http://cerfacs.fr/4-26334-The-AVBP-code.php)

	PREM	IIXED	STAC	ED
	ϕ_{prem}	= 0.9	$\phi_{stag} =$	= 0.85
	\dot{m}^{air}	ϕ	\dot{m}^{air}	ϕ
Experiment				
ICS	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Injector 1	8.5	0.95	7.0	0.0
Injector 2	8.5	0.95	10.0	1.53
LES				
ICS	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.0
Injector 1	8.5	0.9	7.0	0.0
Injector 2	8.5	0.9	10.0	1.53



Bench can be operated in fully premixed or fuel staging configurations.

Matching numerical setups are available for LES with the AVBP code

(http://cerfacs.fr/4-26334-The-AVBP-code.php)

	PREMIXED		STAG	ED
	ϕ_{prem}	= 0.9	$\phi_{stag} =$	0.85
	\dot{m}^{air} ϕ		\dot{m}^{air}	ϕ
Experiment				
ICS	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Injector 1	8.5	0.95	7.0	0.0
Injector 2	8.5	0.95	10.0	1.53
LES				
ICS	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.0
Injector 1	8.5	0.9	7.0	0.0
Injector 2	8.5	0.9	10.0	1.53





Bench can be operated in fully premixed or fuel staging configurations.

Matching numerical setups are available for LES with the AVBP code

(http://cerfacs.fr/4-26334-The-AVBP-code.php)

	PREM	IIXED	STAC	JED
r [mm]	TC1	TC2	TC1	TC2
0	1021	1203	28	621
5	1171	1362	29	607
10	1012	1152	28	598
15	852	841	24	575

Mean temperature measurements in the injection duct (°C)

	PREM	IIXED	STAG	ED
	ϕ_{prem}	= 0.9	$\phi_{stag} =$	0.85
	\dot{m}^{air}	ϕ	\dot{m}^{air}	ϕ
Experiment				
ICS	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Injector 1	8.5	0.95	7.0	0.0
Injector 2	8.5	0.95	10.0	1.53
LES				
ICS	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.0
Injector 1	8.5	0.9	7.0	0.0
Injector 2	8.5	0.9	10.0	1.53





Bench can be operated in fully premixed or fuel staging configurations.

Matching numerical setups are available for LES with the AVBP code

(http://cerfacs.fr/4-26334-The-AVBP-code.php)

PREMIXED	STAGED
	TC1 TC2
Flashback	28 621
	29 607
	28 598
	24 575
	PREMIXED Flashback

Mean temperature measurements in the injection duct (°C)







Bench can be operated in fully premixed or fuel staging configurations.

Matching numerical setups are available for LES with the AVBP code

(http://cerfacs.fr/4-26334-The-AVBP-code.php)



	PREMIXED		STAG	ED
	ϕ_{prem}	= 0.9	$\phi_{stag} =$	0.85
	\dot{m}^{air}	ϕ	\dot{m}^{air}	ϕ
Experiment				
ICS	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Injector 1	8.5	0.95	7.0	0.0
Injector 2	8.5	0.95	10.0	1.53
LES				
ICS	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.0
Injector 1	8.5	0.9	7.0	0.0
Injector 2	8.5	0.9	10.0	1.53



Numerical domains

Numerical simulations offer the possibility to explore the effect of outlet condition. « OPEN » (non reflecting or forced) condition or realistic choked nozzle (highly reflecting) can be used.



Case name		OI LIVI O		
Domain	OPEN	OPEN	CHOKED	CHOKED
Outlet	Non-reflecting	Acoustic forcing	Choked nozzle	Choked nozzle
Operating Point	PREMIXED	PREMIXED	PREMIXED	STAGED
Exp. data	NO	NO	YES	YES



Numerical domains

Numerical simulations offer the possibility to explore the effect of outlet condition. « OPEN » (non reflecting or forced) condition or realistic choked nozzle (highly reflecting) can be used.



YES

YES

NO



Exp. data

NO

Acoustic forcing of the OPEN setup shows flame robustness to acoustic flashback.



Non reflecting

No flashback

Flame stabilized in the chamber



m_{ICS}

Acoustic forcing of the OPEN setup shows flame robustness to acoustic flashback.



Non reflecting

No flashback

Flame stabilized in the chamber



m₁ m₂

Acoustic forcing of the OPEN setup shows flame robustness to acoustic flashback.



Non reflecting	10 kPa forcing
No flashback	Intermittent flashback
Flame stabilized in the chamber	Flame does not exceed swirler n°2



Acoustic forcing of the OPEN setup shows flame robustness to acoustic flashback.



Non reflecting	10 kPa forcing	20 kPa Forcing
No flashback	Intermittent flashback	Full flashback
Flame stabilized in the chamber	Flame does not exceed swirler n°2	Flame is gradually forced back to the beginning of the injection tube

































Acoustic forcing of the OPEN setup shows flame robustness to acoustic flashback.



CERFACS

Flame position versus time for 2 forcing strengths



OPEN numerical setup shows:

- The « quiet » setup is flashback-resistant
- Classical acoustic flow reversal produces intermittent flashback...
- ... or full flashback for high amplitude forcing





OPEN numerical setup shows:

- The « quiet » setup is flashback-resistant
- Classical acoustic flow reversal produces intermittent flashback...
- ... or full flashback for high amplitude forcing



CHOKED domain represents the exact behavior of the outlet





CHOKED domain represents the exact behavior of the outlet





Quiet initialization strategy :





Quiet initialization strategy :



The initial solution is « quiet » : very low acoustic levels in the chamber because OPEN solution is used





ECERFACS

Flame view during CHOKED PREMIXED run

 m_{out}



ECERFACS

Flame view during CHOKED PREMIXED run

 m_{out}

The following sequence of events is observed :



The following sequence of events is observed :

1. Starting from a « silent » solution, the LES presents an initial growth of the acoustic activity



Time (ms)



The following sequence of events is observed :

- Starting from a
 « silent » solution,
 the LES presents an
 initial growth of the
 acoustic activity
- 2. A period of thermoacoustic activity inducing intermittent flashback is observed, and acoustic levels continue to rise





The following sequence of events is observed :

CERFACS

- 1. Starting from a « silent » solution, the LES presents an initial growth of the acoustic activity
- 2. A period of thermoacoustic activity inducing intermittent flashback is observed, and acoustic levels continue to rise
- 3. Acoustic levels reach a critical threshold and trigger full flashback







ECERFACS Flame position versus time in the CHOKED - PREMIXED setup



:::



Self-excited thermoacoustic instability induces:

- Classical flow reversal intermittent flashback...
- ...and eventually permanent vortex core flashback

	PREMIXED	STAGED
Experiment	Flashback	Chamber Stabilized
LES	Flashback	?

	PREMIXED		STA	GED
	ϕ_{prem}	= 0.9	ϕ_{stag} =	= 0.85
	\dot{m}^{air}	ϕ	\dot{m}^{air}	ϕ
Experiment				
ICS	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Injector 1	8.5	0.95	7.0	0.0
Injector 2	8.5	0.95	10.0	1.53
LES				
ICS	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.0
Injector 1	8.5	0.9	7.0	0.0
Injector 2	8.5	0.9	10.0	1.53

11

m_{out}



				PREM	IIXED	STAC	ED
	PREMIXED	STAGED		ϕ_{prem}	= 0.9	$\phi_{stag} =$	0.85
				\dot{m}^{air}	ϕ	\dot{m}^{air}	ϕ
Experiment	Flashback	Chamber Stabilized	Experiment				
		Otabilized	ICS	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
	Flashback	0	Injector 1	8.5	0.95	7.0	0.0
LEO	TIASTIDACK	<u>{</u>	Injector 2	8.5	0.95	10.0	1.53
			LES				
			ICS	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.0
	AIR		Injector 1	8.5	0.9	7.0	0.0
		FUEL	Injector 2	8.5	0.9	10.0	1.53
<i>ṁ</i> ₁ AIR			in the second seco	bout			



...

m_{out}

CHOKED STAGED : No flashback



Flame position versus time in the CHOKED - STAGED setup

. . . .

. . . .


Fuel staging between injectors 1 and 2 leads to a lean core and prevents flame propagation along the vortex axis.



	PREMIXED	STAGED
Experiment	Flashback	Chamber Stabilized
LES	Flashback	Chamber Stabilized



	PREMIXED	STAGED
Experiment	Flashback	Chamber Stabilized
LES	Flashback	Chamber Stabilized

The LES predicted flashback *before* the experimental confirmation



	PREMIXED	STAGED
Experiment	Flashback	Chamber Stabilized
LES	Flashback	Chamber Stabilized

The LES predicted flashback *before* the experimental confirmation

- Quiet system is flashback robust
- Full flashback can be triggered by sufficiently strong acoustic forcing combined with vortex core flame propagation
- This forcing occurs naturally due to a self-excited thermoacoustic instability



	PREMIXED	STAGED
Experiment	Flashback	Chamber Stabilized
LES	Flashback	Chamber Stabilized

The LES predicted flashback *before* the experimental confirmation

- Quiet system is flashback robust
- Full flashback can be triggered by sufficiently strong acoustic forcing combined with vortex core flame propagation
- This forcing occurs naturally due to a self-excited thermoacoustic instability
- Fuel staging produces a lean vortex core and prevents flame propagation



ECERFACS



ECERFACS



Additional Content



Initialization strategy



